Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/669,999	HARNEY, DONALD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. Brett Trout.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 December 2006	Time: <u>am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 21-24,27		
Prior art documents discussed: Reichel-451, Van Herpen-483		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a written ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
that B. Thomson.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 21 will be amended to recite the relationship/orientation between axis of the hinge plate and axis of the journaled means, and that those axis are different. Claim 22 will be amended to recite the sleeve coupled to the hinge plate, the hinge plate hinged to the base plate, and that the means for securing the leg to the hinge plate secures the leg coaxial with the sleeve. These changes serve to distinguish over the prior art of record.